

**“COST ANALYSIS BETWEEN ELECTRONIC WASTE CONCRETE AND CONVENTIONAL
CONCRETE”**

SHWETABH UPADHYAY

***M. Tech. Scholar, Madhyanchal Professional University, (School of engineering) Bhopal,
M.P., India.***

Prof. Vinay Kumar Singh Chandrakar

****Department of Civil Engineering, School of engineering Bhopal****

ABSTRACT

Electronic garbage is a growing concern that poses major environmental and human health risks. whose disposal is evolving into a difficult issue. The reuse of E-waste material in the concrete industry is thought to be the most practical application for addressing the disposal of vast amounts of E-waste material. The cost of typical coarse and fine aggregate has increased, forcing civil engineers to look for suitable substitutes. One such substitute for both coarse aggregate and fine aggregate is e-waste. Due to the lack of coarse aggregate needed to prepare concrete, an attempt was made to partially substitute E-waste with coarse. M30 grade mix was used for the project. The proportion of coarse aggregate replaced by E-waste in the range of 0%, 3%, 6%, 9%, 12%, 15% and 18%. Electronic waste can also help to partially reduce the problem of rising construction material cost in this study save the material cost 2.35% 1m^3 as compare to control mix.

Key words: - E- waste, Mix Design, Cost analysis, conventional concrete, E –waste concrete.

INTRODUCTION

Electronic garbage, sometimes known as e-waste, refers to outdated electrical or electronic equipment. E-waste includes used electronics that are intended for recycling through material recovery, refurbishment, reuse, resale, or disposal. E-waste processing done informally in developing nations can have a negative impact on human health and pollute the environment.

Lead, cadmium, beryllium, and brominated flame retardants are just a few of the potentially hazardous compounds found in electronic trash components like CPUs. The health of workers and

the communities they live in may be significantly at risk during the recycling and disposal of e-waste.

When an electronic product is discarded after reaching the end of its useful life, it produces "e-waste" or electronic garbage. E-waste is produced in enormous quantities as a result of the quick advancement of technology and our consumer-driven culture.

E-waste is a

Table 1 Yearly electronic waste generated

| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| E-waste Generated | 18.2 Mt | 23.6 Mt | 30.6 Mt | 35.3 Mt | 42.8 Mt | 57.4 Mt | 59.4Mt |

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

- To reduce the amount of construction cost by using certain electronic waste product

LITERATURE REVIEW

Mona Brahmacharimayum et al (2019) being examined Concrete is a commonly used composite material in the building industry made of fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, cement, and water with or without additives. However, as the creation of concrete requires a lot of energy, efforts have been undertaken to find substitutes for its traditional constituents. With an estimated growth rate ranging from 3% to 5% annually, electronic waste (or "E-wastes") is one of the fastest increasing categories of waste in the world. Old computers, TVs, refrigerators, and other electrical devices that have reached the end of their useful lives are considered e-waste. E-waste's impact on the environment and ecology may be somewhat mitigated by using it in concrete. Additionally, it will assist in the disposal of E-waste and lower landfill costs.

Suchithra et al (2015) I studied Electronic garbage is a growing concern that poses major environmental and human health risks. whose disposal is evolving into a difficult issue. The reuse of E-waste material in the concrete industry is thought to be the most practical application for addressing the disposal of vast amounts of E-waste material. The cost of typical coarse aggregate has increased, which has compelled civil engineers to look for appropriate substitutes. One such

substitute for coarse aggregate is e-waste. Due to the lack of coarse aggregate needed to prepare concrete, an attempt was made to partially substitute E-waste with coarse aggregate. The M20 grade mix was used for the project. A replacement of 0%, 5%, 10%, or more of the coarse aggregate with E-waste

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials those were used in our thesis work are as follows:-

- ❖ Binding material i.e. Cement
- ❖ Fine aggregate (Sand)
- ❖ Coarse aggregate
- ❖ Electronic waste
- ❖ Potable water

Mix Design of M30 Grade of concrete IS 10262-2019

Step-1 Target Mean Strength

$$F_{ck} = f_{ck} + 1.65S$$

OR

$$F_{ck} = f_{ck} + X$$

Where

F_{ck} = Target mean compressive strength at 28Day

f_{ck} = Charestetic compressive strength at 28 day

S = Standard deviation

X = Factor based on the grade of concrete

Target Mean Strength

$$F_{ck} = f_{ck} + 1.65S$$

So here $f_{ck} = 30 \text{ N/mm}^2$

$$S = 5$$

The standard deviation value (SD) is taken from, Table 2 of IS:10262-2019 for **up-to M30 grade**

$$F_{ck} = 30 + 1.65 * 5$$

$$F_{ck} = 38.5 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Now we have calculated an other formula

Value of X taken form IS10262-2019 table no 1 and page no2

$$X=6.5$$

Then

$$F_{ck} = 30+6.5$$

$$F_{ck} = 36.5 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

For design purpose always consider higher value of both

Hence,

| |
|--------------------------------|
| $F_{ck} = 38.5 \text{ N/mm}^2$ |
|--------------------------------|

Step-2 Selection of water cement ratio

Water cement ratio can be established by relationship from the grape provide IS 10262-2019 figure no 1 and page no 4

Now consider water cement ratio is 0.43

But according to IS456-2000 maximum water cement ratio is For M30 grade concrete is 0.45

But in our mix design we have calculated 0.43 hence ok.

Step-3 Selection of water contain

The amount of water content needed per cubic volume of concrete must be estimated in this phase. With the nominal maximum size of coarse aggregate being 20 mm, the following chart from IS 10262:2019 can be used to determine this water content. (angular coarse aggregate).

Okay, so as you can see from the table, IS 10262 specifies that 186 kg of water are needed for 20 mm angular aggregate with a 50 mm Slump.

However, the workability requirement is 75 mm slump, and the method of putting concrete is by chute.

186 Kgs of water are currently being used for only a 50mm slump value, as the code recommends. As a result, we must make certain adjustments to account for the additional 25 mm of slump at a rate of 3%.

By the way, the formula provided by IS 10262:2019 in Clause No. 5.3 makes it simple to determine.

$$WC_{75} = 186 + (75 - 50)/25 * (3/100) * 186$$

$$WC_{75} = 191.58 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$

So consider of water 192 Kg/m³

Step-4 calculation of cement

$$\text{Quantity of cement} = \frac{\text{Water Contain}}{\text{Water Cement ratio}}$$

$$\text{Quantity of cement} = \frac{192}{0.43}$$

$$\text{Quantity of cement} = 447 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$

Step-5 Course and fine aggregate calculation

Since 20 mm is the maximum nominal size, Table 5 of IS 10262:2019 states that the volume of coarse aggregate per unit volume of total aggregate for the various zones of fine aggregate is 0.62. The approximate values for this aggregate volume are given in Table 5 for a water-cement/water cementations materials ratio of 0.5, which may be suitably adjusted for other ratios. According to IS 10262:2019 Clause 5.5.1, "the proportion of volume of coarse aggregates to that of total aggregates is increased at the rate of 0.01 for every decrease in water cement/cementations materials ratio by 0.05 and decreased at the rate of 0.01 for every increase in water-cement ratio." Once more, in order to collect the amount of coarse aggregate, we must make some modifications to our designed water-cement ratio. The overall decrease in this ratio is = (0.50-0.43) = 0.07

In the end, the modified value of coarse aggregate = (0.01 x 0.07)/0.05 = 0.014 will increase to = 0.62 + 0.014 = 0.634

So we get the value

$F_{ck} = 30 \text{ Mpa}$

W/C ratio = 0.43

Water contain = 192 kg

Cement Contain = 447kg

C.A /Total aggregate = 0.634

$$F.A/ \text{ Total aggregate} = (1 - 0.634) = 0.366$$

Mix calculation

$$\text{Mass}/(\text{Sp. Gravity of Cement} * 1000) = \text{Volume of Cement}$$

$$\text{Cement volume} = 447/(2.880 * 1000) = 0.155 \text{ m}^3$$

$$\text{Volume of Water} = \text{Mass}/(\text{Water's Specific Gravity} * 1000)$$

$$\text{Volume of Water} = 192/(1.000 * 1000) = \mathbf{0.192 \text{ m}^3}$$

$$\text{Volume of All-in-aggregate (Coarse + Fine aggregate)} = (\text{Total Concrete Volume} - \text{Air Content} - \text{Volume of Cement} - \text{Volume of water})$$

$$\text{Volume of All-in-aggregate} = (1 - 0.01 - 0.155 - 0.192) = \mathbf{0.643 \text{ m}^3}$$

Anyway, we can say that, the Total Volume of Coarse and Fine Aggregate is of fractional of 0.719 m³ out of total 1m³ Concrete Volume.

$$\text{There so, the Weight of Coarse Aggregate} = (0.634 * 0.643 * 2.74 * 1000)$$

$$= \mathbf{1117 \text{ Kg.}}$$

$$\text{In the Similar way, the Weight of Fine Aggregate} = (0.366 * 0.643 * 2.65 * 1000)$$

$$= \mathbf{624 \text{ Kg.}}$$

Table 2 materials used in 1m³ For M30 grade of concrete

In this table show all material quantity per m³ cement, sand, aggregate and water.

| Cement | Sand | Aggregate | Water |
|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| 447 | 624 | 1117 | 192 |

But in our research we replaced aggregate 0%, 2%,4%,6%,8%,10% and 12% and sand replace 0%,1%,2%,3%,4%,5% and 6 % with e- waste.

So new material's quantity per 1m³ is

Table 3 weight of Aggregate and e-waste

In this table shown electronic waste quantity and coarse aggregate quantity.

| % of e-waste | Actual Weight of Aggregate in kg as per mix design | Weight of e-waste in kg | Weight of Aggregate in kg after replacing with e-waste |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 0 | 1117 | 0 | 1117 |
| 2 | 1117 | 22.34 | 1094.66 |
| 4 | 1117 | 44.68 | 1072.32 |
| 6 | 1117 | 67.02 | 1049.98 |
| 8 | 1117 | 89.36 | 1027.64 |
| 10 | 1117 | 111.7 | 1005.3 |
| 12 | 1117 | 134.04 | 982.96 |

Table 4 weight of Sand and e-waste

In this table shown electronic waste quantity and fine aggregate quantity.

| % of e-waste | Actual Weight of Sand in kg as per mix design | Weight of e-waste in kg | Weight of Sand in kg after replacing with e-waste |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 624 | 0 | 624 |
| 1 | 624 | 6.24 | 617.76 |
| 2 | 624 | 12.48 | 611.52 |
| 3 | 624 | 18.72 | 605.28 |
| 4 | 624 | 24.96 | 599.04 |
| 5 | 624 | 31.20 | 592.80 |
| 6 | 624 | 37.44 | 586.56 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Results (or Findings) section follows the Methods and precedes the Discussion section. The Discussion section follows the Results and precedes the Conclusions and Recommendations.

COST ESTIMATION

Cost Analysis

The cost is the main important factor in any research. The invented material should be cost effective so that it can be easily adopted in modern construction industry. For construction of any project 60 % of the cost is incurred in the material. Our material in which we have used electronic waste as a partial replacement of course aggregate and fine aggregate by 0% to 12 %, and 0% to 6% In this way less aggregate will be used for every m³ of concrete and we can save the direct material cost. The details of the cost economics of the material is described briefly in following table.

Material Rate in Bhopal 11-04-2023

Cement - 355 Per Bag

1 bag = 50kg

So rate of 1kg cement = $355/50 = 7.1$ Rs

Sand - 43 cu ft

1cu ft = 28.32kg

So rate of 1kg sand = $43/28.32 = 1.52$ Rs

Aggregate – 25 cu ft

So rate of 1 kg aggregate = $25/28.32 = 0.88$ Rs

A correct rate per unit of work or supply of work specifications such labor, materials, and equipment is determined using rate analysis. It can also be described as the analytical investigation that identifies the fundamental needs to define unit rates of work.

Table 5 Market Rate of All Material

| S. No. | Material | Rate (Rs./Kg) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | Cement | 7.1 |
| 2 | Fine Aggregate | 1.52 |

| | | |
|---|------------------|------|
| 3 | Coarse Aggregate | 0.88 |
| 4 | Electronic Waste | 0.2 |

Cost of cement per $m^3 = 447 * 7.1 = 3173.7rs$

Table 6 Cost of coarse aggregate and electronic waste

| % of e-waste (1) | Weight of e-waste in kg (2) | Weight of Aggregate in kg after replacing with e-waste (3) | Cost of electronic waste in Rs (4) | Cost of coarse aggregate in Rs (5) | Total cost of coarse agg+ E waste= (4+5) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1117 | 0 | 982.96 | 982.96 |
| 2 | 22.34 | 1094.66 | 4.47 | 963.30 | 967.77 |
| 4 | 44.68 | 1072.32 | 8.936 | 943.36 | 952.296 |
| 6 | 67.02 | 1049.98 | 13.40 | 923.98 | 937.38 |
| 8 | 89.36 | 1027.64 | 17.87 | 904.32 | 922.19 |
| 10 | 111.7 | 1005.3 | 22.34 | 884.66 | 907 |
| 12 | 134.04 | 982.96 | 26.81 | 865 | 891.81 |

Table 7 Cost of fine aggregate and electronic waste

| % of e-waste (1) | Weight of e-waste in kg (2) | Weight of Sand in kg after replacing with e-waste (3) | Cost of electronic waste in Rs (4) | Cost of Fine aggregate in Rs (5) | Total cost of fine agg+ E waste= (4+5) |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 624 | 0 | 948.48 | 948.48 |
| 1 | 6.24 | 617.76 | 1.25 | 939 | 940.25 |
| 2 | 12.48 | 611.52 | 2.5 | 929.5 | 932 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| 3 | 18.72 | 605.28 | 3.7 | 920 | 923.7 |
| 4 | 24.96 | 599.04 | 5 | 910.54 | 915.54 |
| 5 | 31.20 | 592.80 | 6.24 | 901.05 | 907.29 |
| 6 | 37.44 | 586.56 | 7.5 | 891.57 | 899.07 |

Table 8 Total cost of materials in Rs per m³

| Electronic waste % | Cost of cement in RS | Cost of coarse agg+ electronic waste | Cost of fine agg +electronic waste | Total cost |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------------|
| 0 | 3173.7 | 982.96 | 948.48 | 5105.14 |
| 3 | 3173.7 | 967.77 | 940.25 | 5081.72 |
| 6 | 3173.7 | 952.296 | 932 | 5057.996 |
| 9 | 3173.7 | 937.38 | 923.7 | 5034.78 |
| 12 | 3173.7 | 922.19 | 915.54 | 5011.43 |
| 15 | 3173.7 | 907 | 907.29 | 4987.99 |
| 18 | 3173.7 | 891.81 | 899.07 | 4964.58 |

Conclusion



From the experimental examination this exploration work can be conclude as following:-

- ❖ Since the density of concrete is reduced when e-waste is utilized in its stead, this EWC can be used to make light-weight concrete.
- ❖ One of the finest methods for disposing of e-waste is to use the EWC in conjunction with ordinary concrete.
- ❖ Up till a point, using e-waste in concrete can successfully address the issue of e-waste disposal.
- ❖ EWC can also help to partially reduce the problem of rising construction material cost. To save the material cost 2.35% 1m³ as compare to control mix.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mona Brahmacharimayum Chitra Shijagurumayum and Thokchom Suresh “E-Waste in Concrete-A Review” Journal of Civil Engineering and Environmental Technology p-ISSN: 2349-8404; e-ISSN: 2349-879X; Volume 6, Issue 6; July-September, 2019, pp. 384-387 © Krishi Sanskriti Publications <http://www.krishisanskriti.org/Publication.html>.
- [2] Suchithra S1, Manoj Kumar2, Indu V.S “STUDY ON REPLACEMENT OF COARSE AGGREGATE BY E- WASTE IN CONCRETE” International Journal of Technical Research and Applications e-ISSN: 2320-8163, www.ijtra.com Volume 3, Issue4 (July-August 2015), PP. 266-270
- [3] Tomas U. Ganiron Jr “Effect of Thermoplastic as Fine Aggregate to Concrete Mixture” International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 2014.
- [4] S.P.Kale, H.I.Pathan “Recycling of Demolished Concrete and E-waste” International Journal of Science and Research, 2013.
- [5] Salman Siddique, Sikandar Shakil, Shdab Sddiqui “Scope of Utilisation of E-waste in Concrete” International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Emerging Vol.No 4, Special Issue(01), March 2015.
- [6] R.Lakshmi, S. Nagan, “Utilization of Waste E –plastic Particles in Cementitious Mixtures ” Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol.38, No.1, April- May 2011, pp.26-35.
- [7] R. Lakshmi, S. Nagan, “Investigation on Durability Characteristics of E-plastic Waste Incorporated Concrete” Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing) Vol.12, No.6, April 2011.
- [8] Iftekar Gull, Mr. M. Balasubramanian “A New Paradigm on Experimental Investigation of Concrete for E- plastic Waste Management” International Journal of Engineering Trend and Technology, Vol.10, No.4, April 2014.

BIOGRAPHIES

| | |
|---|---|
|  | <p>Shwetabh Upadhyay Students Madhyanchal Professional University Bhopal</p> |
|  | <p>Vinay Kumar Singh Chandrakar PROFESSOR Dept of Civil Engineering Madhyanchal Professional University Bhopal</p> |